Genomic evidence for a complex emergence of early modern humans

<u>Arun Durvasula^{1,2,3}</u>, Daniel Tabin², Richard W. Wrangham², Nick Patterson³, David E. Reich^{1,2,3,4}

¹Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, ²Department of Human Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University, ³Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, ⁴Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Harvard Medical School



- The emergence of early modern humans several hundred thousand years ago was marked by distinctive morphological and behavioral changes that are evident from skeletal and archaeological data.
- Changes in fossil morphology around 300Kya suggest there was a change in the breeding system towards a more equal distribution of reproductive success (1, 2)
- If such a change did occur, we should see a signal of this in ulletthe ratio of X to autosome effective population size.
- The X:A ratio is affected by several evolutionary forces, lacksquareincluding demographic, life history, and selective effects
- Here, we set out to test this hypothesis by reconstructing \bullet the effective population size history on the X chromosome and autosomes.



- Data: 1000 Genomes High Coverage genome sequences 81 female YRI individuals (3)
- We ran PSMC (4) on autosomes and X chromosome separately
- Compute ratio of X chromosome to autosome population size through time, correcting for differences in mutation rate between autosomes and X
- Compute variance via jackknife over chromosomes (for autosome analysis) or over 5MB windows (for X chromosome analysis)



- A decrease in the ratio could be consistent with selection reducing the coalescent rate on the X chromosome
- Selection tends to act locally, so we tested whether any regions contributed disproportionately to the signal.



Both are unlikely under Fig 3. PSMC posterior decoding on chrX averaged across individuals neutrality (Z=10, 6.6)

Conclusions and future directions

- PSMC results are consistent with a change in the variance of male reproductive success between 500-300Kya, around the emergence of early modern humans
- Selection does not appear to drive the signal
- Recent increase in ratio is consistent with a populationspecific signal of polygyny in YRI (5)
- Additional modeling may help enumerate other models (including changes in life history traits) that could produce this pattern
- Comparisons to other primates and high-coverage archaic individuals would help to see how widespread this phenomenon is

References

- 1. Wrangham, Richard. The goodness paradox: The strange relationship between virtue and violence in human evolution. Vintage, 2019.
- 2. Cieri, Robert L., et al. "Craniofacial feminization, social tolerance, and the origins of behavioral modernity." Current Anthropology 55.4 (2014): 419-443.

We find that the signal is spread across chromosome X, consistent with demographic effects driving the signal (Fig 2)

Fig 2. Peak to trough ratio across chromosome X

- 3. Byrska-Bishop, Marta et al. "High-coverage whole-genome sequencing of the expanded 1000 Genomes Project cohort including 602 trios." Cell vol. 185,18 (2022): 3426-3440.e19. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2022.08.004
- 4. Li, Heng, and Richard Durbin. "Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome sequences." *Nature*475.7357 (2011): 493-496.
- 5. Amster, Guy, et al. "Changes in life history and population size can explain the relative neutral diversity levels on X and autosomes in extant human populations." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 117.33 (2020): 20063-20069.